arXiv:0808.3963v1 [nlin.SI] 28 Aug 2008

Comment on 'Evolution of the unidirectional electromagnetic pulses in an anisotropic two-level medium'

N.V. Ustinov*

(Dated: August 28, 2008)

Recently, Zabolotskii [Phys. Rev. E 77, 036603 (2008)] presented the Lax pair for a version of the reduced Maxwell–Bloch equations. This version was derived under considering the unidirectional propagation of a two-component electromagnetic pulse through an anisotropic two-level medium. We demonstrate that his derivation contains essential omission, which led to the wrong version of the reduced Maxwell–Bloch equations. We also point out that the Lax pair for correct version of these equations had been known in the most general anisotropic case.

PACS numbers: 41.20.-q, 02.30.Ik, 42.50.Md, 42.65.Tg

A version of the reduced Maxwell–Bloch (RMB) equations was derived in Ref. [1] as follows. The Hamiltonian of the interaction of two-component electromagnetic field with anisotropic two-level medium was taken in the form

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H} \;=\; & \frac{\hbar\omega_0}{2}\hat{\sigma}_3 - (d^{(1)}_{zx}E'_x + d^{(1)}_{zy}E'_y)\hat{\sigma}_{11} \\ & -(d^{(2)}_{zx}E'_x + d^{(2)}_{zy}E'_y)\hat{\sigma}_{22} - (d_{xx}E'_x + d_{xy}E'_y)\hat{\sigma}_1 \\ & -(d_{yx}E'_x + d_{yy}E'_y)\hat{\sigma}_2, \qquad (1)\end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_k$ $(k = 1,2,3)$ are the Pauli matrices, $\hat{\sigma}_{11} = \mathrm{diag}(1,0)$, $\hat{\sigma}_{22} = \mathrm{diag}(0,1)$, E'_x and E'_y are the transverse components of the electric field, ω_0 is the frequency of the transition, $\hbar$ is the Plank's constant. Equivalent representation of $\hat{H}$ is

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\hbar\omega_0}{2}\hat{\sigma}_3 - \hat{d}_x E'_x - \hat{d}_y E'_y, \qquad (2)$$

where the matrices $\hat{d}_x$ and $\hat{d}_y$ corresponding to the operators of the dipole moment components are defined as given

$$\hat{d}_x = \begin{pmatrix} d^{(1)}_{zx} & d_{xx} - i d_{yx} \\ d_{xx} + i d_{yx} & d^{(2)}_{zx} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\hat{d}_y = \begin{pmatrix} d^{(1)}_{zy} & d_{xy} - i d_{yy} \\ d_{xy} + i d_{yy} & d^{(2)}_{zy} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Coefficients $d^{(k)}_{zs}$ $(k = 1,2,\ s = x,y)$, d_{sp} $(s,p = x,y)$ of these matrices are assumed to be real constants.

New variables were introduced by the transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} E_x \\ E_y \end{pmatrix} = T \begin{pmatrix} E'_x \\ E'_y \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (3)$$

where

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{d_{xx}}{\delta_x} & \dfrac{d_{xy}}{\delta_x} \\ \dfrac{d_{yx}}{\delta_y} & \dfrac{d_{yy}}{\delta_y} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\delta_x = \sqrt{d^2_{xx} + d^2_{xy}}, \quad \delta_y = \sqrt{d^2_{yx} + d^2_{yy}}.$$

Then, Hamiltonian (1) reads as

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H} \;=\; & \frac{\hbar\omega_0}{2}\hat{\sigma}_3 - (p^{(1)}_x E_x + p^{(1)}_y E_y)\hat{\sigma}_{11} \\ & -(p^{(2)}_x E_x + p^{(2)}_y E_y)\hat{\sigma}_{22} - \delta_x E_x \hat{\sigma}_1 - \delta_y E_y \hat{\sigma}_2.\end{aligned}$$

Here

$$p^{(k)}_x = \delta_x \frac{d^{(k)}_{zx} d_{yy} - d^{(k)}_{zy} d_{yx}}{P_0}, \quad p^{(k)}_y = \delta_y \frac{d^{(k)}_{zy} d_{xx} - d^{(k)}_{zx} d_{xy}}{P_0}$$

$(k = 1,2)$, $P_0 = d_{xx}d_{yy} - d_{xy}d_{yx}$.

The dynamics of the two-level medium was described by the von Neumann equation on the density matrix $\hat{\rho}$,

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\hat{\rho}}{\partial t} = [\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}], \qquad (4)$$

with

$$\hat{\rho} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{11} & \rho_{12} \\ \rho_{21} & \rho_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Eq. (4) was rewritten in the terms of the components of the Bloch vector

$$S_x = \frac{\rho_{12} + \rho_{21}}{2}, \quad S_y = \frac{\rho_{12} - \rho_{21}}{2i}, \quad S_z = \frac{\rho_{11} - \rho_{22}}{2}$$

and the dimensionless variables

$$\mathcal{E}_x = \frac{d_0 E_x}{\hbar\omega_0}, \quad \mathcal{E}_y = \frac{d_0 E_y}{\hbar\omega_0},$$

where

$$d_0 = \sqrt{4\delta^2_x + 4\delta^2_y + \left(p^{(1)}_x - p^{(2)}_x\right)^2 + \left(p^{(1)}_y - p^{(2)}_y\right)^2},$$

as given

$$\frac{\partial S_x}{\partial\tau'} = (1 - m_x\mathcal{E}_x - m_y\mathcal{E}_y)S_y + \mu_y\mathcal{E}_y S_z, \qquad (5)$$

$$\frac{\partial S_y}{\partial\tau'} = (m_x\mathcal{E}_x + m_y\mathcal{E}_y - 1)S_x - \mu_x\mathcal{E}_x S_z, \qquad (6)$$

$$\frac{\partial S_z}{\partial\tau'} = \mu_x\mathcal{E}_x S_y - \mu_y\mathcal{E}_y S_x. \qquad (7)$$

---

*Electronic address: n˙ustinov@mail.ru

Here $\tau' = \omega_0 t$,

$$\mu_x = \frac{2\delta_x}{d_0}, \quad m_x = \frac{p_x^{(1)} - p_x^{(2)}}{d_0},$$

$$\mu_y = -\frac{2\delta_y}{d_0}, \quad m_y = \frac{p_y^{(1)} - p_y^{(2)}}{d_0}.$$

An evolution of the electromagnetic field of the pulse has to obey the Maxwell equations if the semiclassical approach is applied. It was claimed in [1] that the transformed components E_x and E_y satisfy the "Maxwell equations"

$$\frac{\partial^2 E_x}{\partial z^2} - \frac{n^2}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2 E_x}{\partial t^2} = \frac{4\pi}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2 P_x}{\partial t^2}, \tag{8}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 E_y}{\partial z^2} - \frac{n^2}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2 E_y}{\partial t^2} = \frac{4\pi}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2 P_y}{\partial t^2}, \tag{9}$$

where n is the refractive index of the medium, c is the light speed in free space. The quantities P_x and P_y in the right hand sides of Eqs. (8) and (9) were interpreted as the components of the medium polarization and were defined for this reason in the following manner:

$$P_x = -N\,\mathrm{Tr}\left\{\hat{\rho}\frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial E_x}\right\}, \tag{10}$$

$$P_y = -N\,\mathrm{Tr}\left\{\hat{\rho}\frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial E_y}\right\}, \tag{11}$$

where N is the density of the medium.

At last, the reduced equations

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_x}{\partial \chi'} = R_z \mathcal{E}_y - \mu_x S_y, \tag{12}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_y}{\partial \chi'} = -R_z \mathcal{E}_x + \mu_y S_x, \tag{13}$$

where

$$\chi' = \frac{2\pi N d_0^2}{n\hbar c}\left(z + \frac{c}{n}t\right),$$

$$R_z = m_x \mu_y S_x + m_y \mu_x S_y - \mu_x \mu_y S_z,$$

were obtained from (8) and (9) with the help of the unidirectional propagation approximation.

The misprints were corrected in the formulas presented above. We divided the first term in the right hand side of (1) by two, changed a sign in the definition of μ_y, multiplied variable χ' by $\omega_0/2$. Also, Eqs. (8)–(11) were written in the terms of the variables E_x, E_y instead of $\mathcal{E}_x$, $\mathcal{E}_y$, and the multipliers d_x, d_y are omitted in the right hand sides of Eqs. (8), (9). We found that these corrections are necessary for the system (5)–(7), (12), (13) to be obtained.

According to Ref. [1], an evolution of the unidirectional two-component electromagnetic pulses in an anisotropic two-level medium is described by the version (5)–(7), (12), (13) of the RMB equations. We believe this statement to be misleading since the derivation of Eqs. (12), (13) contains essential omission.

To explain why this statement in [1] is incorrect we consider the Maxwell equations for the components E'_x and E'_y of the electric field. So, we have

$$\frac{\partial^2 E'_x}{\partial z^2} - \frac{n^2}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2 E'_x}{\partial t^2} = \frac{4\pi}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2 P'_x}{\partial t^2}, \tag{14}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 E'_y}{\partial z^2} - \frac{n^2}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2 E'_y}{\partial t^2} = \frac{4\pi}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2 P'_y}{\partial t^2}, \tag{15}$$

where the components of the medium polarization are

$$P'_x = -N\,\mathrm{Tr}\left\{\hat{\rho}\frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial E'_x}\right\}, \tag{16}$$

$$P'_y = -N\,\mathrm{Tr}\left\{\hat{\rho}\frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial E'_y}\right\} \tag{17}$$

(compare with Eqs. (10), (11)). Substitution of (2) into (16), (17) leads to the standard formulas:

$$P'_x = N\,\mathrm{Tr}\,(\hat{\rho}\hat{d}_x), \quad P'_y = N\,\mathrm{Tr}\,(\hat{\rho}\hat{d}_y).$$

From Eqs. (14), (15) and the transformation (3), one obtains the wave equations on transformed components E_x and E_y:

$$\frac{\partial^2 E_x}{\partial z^2} - \frac{n^2}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2 E_x}{\partial t^2} = \frac{4\pi}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{P}_x}{\partial t^2}, \tag{18}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 E_y}{\partial z^2} - \frac{n^2}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2 E_y}{\partial t^2} = \frac{4\pi}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{P}_y}{\partial t^2}, \tag{19}$$

where quantities $\tilde{P}_x$ and $\tilde{P}_y$ are defined by the relation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{P}_x \\ \tilde{P}_y \end{pmatrix} = T \begin{pmatrix} P'_x \\ P'_y \end{pmatrix}. \tag{20}$$

A connection between the components P'_x, P'_y and P_x, P_y exists also. Taking into account (3), we deduce from Eqs. (10), (11) and (16), (17) that

$$\begin{pmatrix} P'_x \\ P'_y \end{pmatrix} = T^T \begin{pmatrix} P_x \\ P_y \end{pmatrix}. \tag{21}$$

Define the dimensionless parameter

$$\varepsilon = \frac{d_{xx}d_{yx} + d_{xy}d_{yy}}{\delta_x \delta_y}.$$

If the condition

$$\varepsilon = 0 \tag{22}$$

holds, then matrix T is orthogonal: $T^T = T^{-1}$. It can easily be seen from Eqs. (20) and (21) that $\tilde{P}_x = P_x$,

$\tilde{P}_y = P_y$ in this case. Formula (3) under this condition is nothing but the rotation transformation.

In the general case ($\varepsilon \neq 0$), we have from (20), (21) that $\tilde{P}_x \neq P_x$ and $\tilde{P}_y \neq P_y$, i.e. the right hand sides of the equations (8), (9) and (18), (19) on transformed components E_x, E_y are different. This shows that it is incorrect to determine the quantities P_x and P_y in Eqs. (8), (9) by means of the formulas (10) and (11) if $\varepsilon \neq 0$. These formulas are valid in the particular case $\varepsilon = 0$ when transformation (3) is the rotation transformation. Thus, the version (5)–(7), (12), (13) of the RMB equations can be applied only if the condition (22) is imposed on the elements of the matrices $\hat{d}_x$ and $\hat{d}_y$. It is wrong to exploit this version in the general case.

An application of the unidirectional propagation approximation to Eqs. (18) and (19) gives

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_x}{\partial \chi'} = R_z \mathcal{E}_y - \mu_x S_y - \varepsilon (R_z \mathcal{E}_x - \mu_y S_x), \tag{23}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_y}{\partial \chi'} = -R_z \mathcal{E}_x + \mu_y S_x + \varepsilon (R_z \mathcal{E}_y - \mu_x S_y). \tag{24}$$

The wrong version (5)–(7), (12), (13) of the RMB equations contains four parameters μ_x, μ_y, m_x and m_y connected by the relation

$$\mu_x^2 + \mu_y^2 + m_x^2 + m_y^2 = 1. \tag{25}$$

It was claimed in [1] that the Lax pair exists for this version.

The correct version (5)–(7), (23), (24) of the RMB equations contains five parameters μ_x, μ_y, m_x, m_y and ε. The relation (25) is fulfilled also. The system of the RMB equations equivalent to the correct version was considered in Ref. [2]. It was shown that this system possesses the Lax pair in the most general anisotropic case when all the elements of the matrices $\hat{d}_x$ and $\hat{d}_y$ are arbitrary.

The system of the RMB equations and its Lax pair were written in [2] in the terms of physical variables and parameters. Having rewritten these systems in the terms of the variables τ', χ' and $\mathcal{E}_x$, $\mathcal{E}_y$, we obtain equations (5)–(7), (23), (24) and their Lax pair:

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \tau'} = L(\lambda) \psi, \tag{26}$$

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \chi'} = A(\lambda) \psi. \tag{27}$$

Here $\psi = \psi(\tau', \chi', \lambda)$ is a solution of the Lax pair, λ is the spectral parameter, 2×2 matrices $L(\lambda)$ and $A(\lambda)$ are defined as given

$$L(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} i \left[\lambda^2 - \dfrac{b}{\lambda^2} \right] & \lambda E^* + \dfrac{\delta_2}{\delta_1} \dfrac{E}{\lambda} \\ \lambda \delta_1 E + \delta_2^* \dfrac{E^*}{\lambda} & -i \left[\lambda^2 - \dfrac{b}{\lambda^2} \right] \end{pmatrix}, \tag{28}$$

$$A(\lambda) = r \begin{pmatrix} -i \left[\lambda^2 - \dfrac{b}{\lambda^2} \right] R_z & \dfrac{\mu_x \mu_y}{\delta_1} R \\ \mu_x \mu_y R^* & i \left[\lambda^2 - \dfrac{b}{\lambda^2} \right] R_z \end{pmatrix}, \tag{29}$$

$$E = \frac{E_x - s^* E_y}{\sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2}} + \frac{\delta_3}{\delta_1}, \quad R = \lambda Q^* + \frac{\delta_2}{\delta_1} \frac{Q}{\lambda},$$

$$Q = \delta_3 S_z + \delta_4 S_x + \delta_5 S_y,$$

$$\delta_1 = -\sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2} \, \frac{\mu_x^2 \mu_y^2 + \mu_x^2 m_y^2 + \mu_y^2 m_x^2}{\mu_x \mu_y},$$

$$\delta_2 = \frac{s^2 (\mu_x^2 + m_x^2) + \mu_y^2 + m_y^2 + 2 s m_x m_y}{4},$$

$$\delta_3 = \frac{\mu_y^2 m_x - s^* \mu_x^2 m_y}{\mu_x \mu_y}, \quad \delta_4 = \frac{\mu_y^2 + m_y^2 + s^* m_x m_y}{\mu_y},$$

$$\delta_5 = -\frac{s^* (\mu_x^2 + m_x^2) + m_x m_y}{\mu_x},$$

$$b = \frac{|\delta_2|^2}{\delta_1^2}, \quad s = \varepsilon + i \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2},$$

$$r = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2}}{\lambda^2 + \dfrac{b}{\lambda^2} + \dfrac{1 + 2 \varepsilon m_x m_y}{2 \delta_1}}.$$

It can be checked immediately that the overdetermined system (26), (27) is the Lax pair of the correct version of the RMB equations (5)–(7), (23), (24). Indeed, the compatibility condition of Eqs. (26), (27) is

$$\frac{\partial L(\lambda)}{\partial \chi'} - \frac{\partial A(\lambda)}{\partial \tau'} + [L(\lambda), A(\lambda)] = 0. \tag{30}$$

A substitution of (28) and (29) into (30) yields the system of the RMB equations (5)–(7), (23), (24).

The system equivalent to Eqs. (5)–(7), (23), (24) is obtained by applying the unidirectional propagation approximation to the Maxwell equations (14), (15). The coefficients of the Lax pair found in [2] for this system were expressed directly through the elements of the matrices $\hat{d}_x$ and $\hat{d}_y$.

---

[1] A. A. Zabolotskii, Phys. Rev. E **77**, 036603 (2008).

[2] N. V. Ustinov, Proc. SPIE **6725**, 67250F (2007); nlin.SI/0705.2833.